

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/721,881	11/27/2000		Michel L.P.M. Verhoeven	P-4566	4027
28390	7590 I	0/19/2004		EXAMINER	
	NIC VASCULA	AR, INC.	JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE		
IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT 3576 UNOCAL PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA ROSA, CA 95403				3738	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7	-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Succession	09/721,881	VERHOEVEN, MICHEL L.P.M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suzette J Jackson	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif (NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	July 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-7 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-7 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers	,		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/721,881 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicants Response dated 7/13/04 has been received in application serial number 09/721,881.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitbourne et al. 6,110,483 in view of Elton 5,160,790. Whitbourne et al. discloses the invention as claimed comprising: A radially expandable stent comprising a wire having a substantially uniform hydrogel coating layer thereon; wherein the layer has an average dry coating thickness of about 0.01 micrometers to about 25 micrometers; and wherein the layer has a biologically active agent, a biocompatible, hydrophilic surface. See col. 1, lines 45-47; col 2, lines 6-9, 25-31, 34-35, 40, 53-67; col. 6, lines 28-58; col 7, lines 15-17 and col. 8, lines 19-21 however Whitbourne et al. does not specify that the thickness of the coating has a standard deviation of no greater

Application/Control Number: 09/721,881 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

than 10 percent. Elton teaches a hydrogel coating that can be applied to a stent in a uniform continuous thickness (col. 4, lines 10-14). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the coating of Whitbourne et al. and apply a uniform thickness (with no greater than 10 percent standard deviation) because Whitbourne et al. discloses that the coatings of the invention may be thin on the order of 2-100 microns and preferably less than about 50 microns (see col. 7, lines 15-17) and that the coating is a continuous thin layer (see col. 4, line 18).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that "...Whitbourbe merely discloses the use of a hydrogel coating which may be thin, on the order of 1-100 microns and preferably less than about 50 microns". It is the examiners opinion that office action is proper because in col. 20, lines 22-33 Whitbourne discloses that in a test sample the coated wire of the prior art technology had cracks, and sections between the cracks were straight; the sample coated with the Whitbourne technology had no cracks, and had a smooth, continuous arc. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to realize that because the wire coated with hydrogel of Whitbourne is smooth with no cracks that there can be a standard deviation of no greater than 10 percent (which is interpreted as anything from zero percent up to 10 percent. It also would have been obvious because

Application/Control Number: 09/721,881 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Whitbourne addresses the same problems that applicant has stated were common in the past and that is to prevent lack of coating uniformity, surface roughness and undesirable drug release properties.

### Conclusion

- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 6. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 703-308-6516.

7. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3738

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Suzette J. Jackson 14 October 2004

David H. Willse Primary Examiner